Involvement of Patients in Health Technology Assessment: Further Perspectives for Informing Decision-Makers
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1. Background

Health technology assessment (HTA) is the systematic evaluation of properties, effects, and/or impacts of healthcare technology (including drugs, devices, procedures, and information systems). Its main purpose is to inform technology-related policymaking in healthcare. Patients can provide additional perspectives to those of other groups that are concerned with health technology. Information on patients’ perspectives is preferably obtained through reviews of published studies. Primary research approaches can be used if good quality, published evidence is unavailable. There are good examples of input from patients influencing the scope or preparation of HTA reports and subsequent consideration of these reports by decision-makers. One challenge to achieving effective patient involvement is finding suitable resources for patient organizations and HTA agencies. There is also a need for the further development of methods, for example, for use in rapid evidence reviews. HTA programs and the decision-makers they inform have to make choices about when patient input is appropriate. Such choices will include considering which questions or aspects of a technology requires such input and the expected time lines for assessment.
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2. Objective

The current study purposed to present an overview of the current status of patient involvement in HTA and to consider issues facing HTA agencies in their provision of information to policy, administrative, and clinical decision-makers.
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3. Methods
A narrative review was undertaken, drawing on current sources of authoritative information on issues related to the involvement of patients in HTA. Inclusion criteria for publications were that they appropriately covered (a) studies and experience with approaches to incorporating patient preferences into HTA reports and the decision-making processes that they inform; (b) involvement of patients in decision-making related to HTA findings; and (c) the effects of patient involvement in HTA on administrative and clinical outcomes.

Relevant material was obtained from a recent guide on this topic which included many chapters prepared by members of the HTAi Interest Group and from the websites of INAHTA (http://www.inahta.org) and HTAi (http://www.htai.org/interest-groups/patient-and-citizen-involvement.html).

As a check for recent publications, a search of PubMed from 2014 to June 2017 was undertaken using the keywords health technology assessment, patient participation, and decision-making. Credible details were extracted from studies and reviews that referred to conceptual issues, research methodologies, and the interaction of HTA agencies with patients and decision-makers.

4. Results
4.1. Reasons for Involving Patients in HTA
The HTAi Interest Group pointed out that HTA was still driven by the assessment of quantitative evidence from controlled studies and economic modelling to describe the clinical and cost effectiveness of a health technology. Broader social and psychological aspects related to the use of a health technology were often only considered implicitly by those who developed and used HTA. Few HTA agencies had used robust methods to gather evidence about the social and psychological aspects of living with an illness or using a technology. Patients are a key source for such evidence.

Patients have unique knowledge that can be useful to HTA. The experience of living with a condition or using healthcare services can provide an additional view to that of experts. This offers a real world understanding of an illness and the benefits and disadvantages of using particular technologies in its management.

Involving patients is also a means of improving transparency and openness in public policy. “Being open to more effective patient participation in the HTA process may be important to improve the social legitimacy and implementation of HTA recommendations.” Facey suggested that patient involvement in HTA may help with the difficult value judgements that arise when clinical and economic evidence is limited, or if added value is at the cusp of a pre-defined threshold, by explaining the real-world implications for patients.

4.2. Obtaining Evidence on Patients’ Perspectives
Use of published evidence is the preferred initial approach to obtaining evidence on patients’ perspectives. The HTAi Interest Group indicated that the first phase of gathering evidence on patients’ perspectives for an HTA is to conduct a systematic review of existing secondary and primary studies. This will identify research questions of relevance to a particular assessment and perspectives about issues that have already been identified in scoping. If evidence of a reasonable quality is not available for the technology that is being assessed, is not transferable to the context considered in the HTA, or is of low quality, the generation of primary research data is appropriate as an alternative source of information.

Methods for generating evidence to determine patients’ perspectives include qualitative research and individual and focus group interviews. Observation of individuals in a real-life setting (field work) can complement the subjective information reported by participants. Quantitative data on patients’ perspectives can be generated from survey questionnaires. Relevant information may also be available from patient organizations.

Other approaches that have been considered include patient-reported outcome measures, discrete choice experiments, ethnographic fieldwork, deliberative democracy, and use of social media to elicit patients’ perspectives. These methods provide options for broadening assessment approaches and patient involvement. However, some of them are time-consuming and expensive and may require expertise that is not easily available to HTA programs.

Multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) offers a possible approach for eliciting details of preferences and trade-offs in relation to alternate health technologies for informing decision criteria.

4.3. Patient Input to HTA
Patient input to HTA refers to the information that patient groups and individual patients submit to organizations undertaking HTA as distinguished from patient evidence derived from the literature or primary studies. Patients may provide comment on the scope and approach of an assessment or on contextual knowledge that is not available in scientific evidence. In this way, patients have some involvement in the HTA process and contribute to the requirement for HTA programs to communicate effectively with the decision-makers whom they inform.

To provide such input, patients and their caregivers must interact in some way with organizations that are responsible for undertaking HTAs. The composition and operation of these organizations vary considerably between countries and regions. The organizational structures and political context of HTA programs and decision-makers can be complex. They tend to change over time, reflecting political and governance decisions that can have consequences for patient involvement. In Germany and Taiwan, patient involvement in HTA is specified in legislation and it has been a strong policy focus of the Scottish Parliament. Approaches open to patients for informing HTA
include providing written comments on draft documents, submitting written information, and face-to-face participation in committees. Many HTA programs offer opportunities for patient organizations to provide input. Some programs also allow individuals to provide comments to committees or multi-stakeholder advisory groups. There is increasing inclusion of patient representatives on committees, typically through nomination by patient organizations. In some HTA programs, submissions may be considered without feedback to the patient organization or individual, so that there is no interactive component in the process.

4.4. Challenges for Patient Involvement
Difficulties for HTA programs with patient involvement can arise with organizing input from patient representatives, in finding resources, and in meeting timelines. The recruitment and training of patient representatives on committees and obtaining their input to discussion can be demanding. Suitable support must be provided if patient representatives are to contribute effectively. HTA programs have sought improvement in these areas by developing approaches to involve and encourage patient participation.

Effective patient involvement in HTA depends on appropriate input to assessments from patient organizations. There will be limits to what can be done from their usual resources. Sources of funding may need to be identified to ensure that these organizations are a sustainable resource for HTA. It may be difficult for HTA programs to commit funding to patient involvement from limited budgets.

The extent of patient involvement is also determined by other factors, including the nature of interaction of patient representatives with other stakeholders and the approaches used to include their views in the formulation of an HTA. For example, Australian advisory committees have had difficulty in bringing together the diversity of their views with those of patient organizations. Social values related to justice and equity are considered, but without quantification weights for equity relative to other factors.

4.5. Outcomes of Patient Involvement
The outcomes of patient involvement in HTA may be difficult to closely identify or quantify. Details on how patients have contributed to assessments are required to refine approaches taken by HTA programs, to provide input to reviews of those programs and their requests for funding, and for feedback to patient organizations.

There are cases where patient involvement has clearly had an important influence on the scope or organization of an HTA. The examples shown in Table 1 illustrate the range of topics that may be considered for assessment. Input from patients influenced the preparation of the assessment reports and subsequent consideration by decision-makers.

In many cases, outcomes associated with patient involvement are less clear and can be difficult to pin down. The extent of patient and caregiver involvement can be documented by recording the receipt of communications from them, for example, through the use of patient input templates. However, it is challenging to track the impact of information collected through a patient input template on the assessment or on deliberations by decision-makers.

Communication by the HTA program with patient organizations and other stakeholders can also be recorded. Beyond this basic level, however, there are issues regarding to what extent patient involvement has influenced the preparation of HTAs and the decisions that they inform.

5. Discussion
The experiences of the programs in several countries show that progress has been made in patient involvement in HTA since the surveys undertaken by the INAHTA. Much of this progress is attributable to the efforts of the HTA community and of patient organizations. There is growing acceptance within government organizations of the fact that patient involvement has a useful place in decision-making. There is also increased sensitivity at the political level to patients’ rights and perspectives and to pressures imposed by social media. However, decisions made on health technologies and the extent of patient involvement are often guided by the availability of scientific evidence, clinical guidelines, and economic considerations.

Table 1. Examples of Patient Involvement in HTAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Patient Involvement</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil15</td>
<td>Management of rare diseases</td>
<td>1140 responses from organizations and individuals</td>
<td>Modification of a clinical guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada16</td>
<td>Alternatives to restraint and seclusion of adults in long-term care facilities</td>
<td>Individual semi-structured interviews with 13 stakeholders</td>
<td>Input to development of a management strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy17</td>
<td>Wireless capsule endoscopy</td>
<td>127 responses to a questionnaire on preferences and alternative treatments</td>
<td>Incorporation of views into an HTA report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland18</td>
<td>Anti-microbial dressings for chronic wounds</td>
<td>Primary qualitative research</td>
<td>Input to preparation of all sections of an HTA report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden19</td>
<td>Patient participation in decision-making in cases of psychosis/schizophrenia, ADHD, and autism spectrum</td>
<td>Obtaining patient evidence using qualitative evidence synthesis</td>
<td>Inclusion of patients’ experiences in HTA reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
involvement have varied between different health systems, reflecting differences in political and societal perspectives. As with other aspects of HTA, patient input will be one of several kinds of information used by decision-makers. Potentially, patient involvement could play a major role through the provision of information related to the safety and effectiveness of technologies and services that are in routine use within health systems.

While there are many positive points about the current use of patient involvement in HTA, various challenges remain related to resources, organizational arrangements, and methodological demands. Better links are needed between patient input to HTA and for what and how such input is utilized. Further development of qualitative methods and methods for qualitative evidence synthesis seems necessary. Patient involvement can be challenging with rapid HTAs which put pressure both on patient organizations and HTA program staff. HTA programs have to further develop their ability to routinely record and report on the contribution of patient involvement activities to the preparation of assessments and of advice to decision-makers. Pressures on the process can also develop from changes within ministries and in government policies.

HTA programs and the decision-makers they inform have to make choices on when patient input is appropriate. These choices will involve considering which questions or aspects of a technology requires such input. Flexible approaches will be needed for each HTA program and assessment topic, taking account of both timelines and available resources.

6. Conclusion

Use of patient involvement in HTA is increasing in many countries and offers additional perspectives for decision-making in support of healthcare. Challenges remain in organizational arrangements, levels of resources, and methodological approaches.
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